

## Problem 2

### Superselection

Janik Kruse – Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań

**Background** If  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  are wave functions of two quantum states (i.e. elements of a Hilbert space with scalar product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ ), any superposition

$$\psi = c_1\psi_1 + c_2\psi_2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.1)$$

is a wave function of a quantum state, too. A characteristic feature of quantum mechanics is that the relative phase between  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  is in general observable: Multiplying a wave function by a complex number of modulus 1 does not change the corresponding physical state, but

$$\psi(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha}c_1\psi_1 + c_2\psi_2, \quad \alpha \in [0, 2\pi), \quad (2.2)$$

is in general a wave function of a different quantum state than  $\psi$ . However, if  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are separated by a **superselection rule**, that is,

$$\langle \psi_1 | A \psi_2 \rangle = 0 \text{ for all observables } A, \quad (2.3)$$

then the relative phase is not observable (see part a)). In this problem, we study superselection rules for a quantum particle confined to a closed loop.

**a) [1 point]** Verify that the relative phase between  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  is not observable (i.e.  $\langle \psi(\alpha) | A \psi(\alpha) \rangle = \langle \psi | A \psi \rangle$  for all observables  $A$ ) if  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are separated by a superselection rule.

We analyse a quantum particle confined to a closed loop with circumference  $L$ . We model the state space of such a particle by  $L$ -periodic wave functions  $\psi$  with phase shift  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  (i.e.  $\psi(x + L) = e^{i\theta}\psi(x)$ ), and we denote the Hilbert space of all such functions by  $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ . The scalar product in  $\mathcal{H}_\theta$  is defined as follows:

$$\langle \varphi | \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\theta} = \int_0^L \overline{\varphi(x)} \psi(x) dx. \quad (2.4)$$

**b) [1 point]** Consider the momentum operator  $P_\theta = -i\partial_x$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ . Show that  $P_\theta$  is hermitian (i.e.  $\langle \varphi | P_\theta \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\theta} = \langle P_\theta \varphi | \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\theta}$  for wave functions  $\varphi, \psi$  that are  $L$ -periodic with phase shift  $\theta$ ).

**c) [1 point]** Compute the eigenvalues and the normalised eigenfunctions of  $P_\theta$ .

**d) [2 points]** Consider the (normalised) wave function  $\psi$  defined as the unique extension of

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt{30/L^5} x(x-L), \quad x \in [0, L), \quad (2.5)$$

to an  $L$ -periodic wave function with phase shift  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Compute the probability that the momentum  $(2\pi k + \theta)/L$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is measured in the state  $\psi$ . Verify that the probability depends on  $\theta$  but not on  $L$ . *Hint:*  $\int_0^1 e^{-i2ax} x(x-1) dx = \frac{e^{-ia}}{2a^3} (a \cos(a) - \sin(a))$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**e) [2 points]** Prove that  $\theta$  is a superselection rule (i.e.  $L$ -periodic wave functions with different phase shifts are separated by a superselection rule).

The space  $\mathcal{H}_\theta$  is a **superselection sector** because  $\theta$  is a superselection rule. The (continuous) direct sum of all superselection sectors,

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,2\pi)}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_\theta \, d\theta, \quad (2.6)$$

is the physical Hilbert space.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the (self-adjoint) physical momentum operator is the direct sum of all  $P_\theta$ :

$$P = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,2\pi)}^{\oplus} P_\theta \, d\theta. \quad (2.8)$$

**f)** [1 point] Apply your result from part c) to determine the (generalised) eigenvalues<sup>2</sup> of the physical momentum operator  $P$ . *Hint:* If  $A, B$  are two operators and  $\psi$  an eigenvector of  $A$ , then  $\psi \oplus 0$  is an eigenvector of  $A \oplus B$ .

**g)** [1 point] Denote by  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  the Hilbert space of all square-integrable wave functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and consider the following operator that maps  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$(U\varphi)_\theta(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik\theta} \varphi(x+kL), \quad \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.9)$$

Verify that  $(U\varphi)_\theta$  is  $L$ -periodic with phase shift  $\theta$  and that  $U$  is an isometry (i.e.  $\langle U\varphi_1 | U\varphi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\varphi_1(x)} \varphi_2(x) \, dx$  for all square-integrable wave functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ ).

**h)** [1 point] In fact,  $U$  is a unitary operator and transforms the physical momentum operator  $P$  to the momentum operator  $\tilde{P} = -i\partial_x$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (i.e.  $U^\dagger P U = \tilde{P}$ , where  $U^\dagger$  is the adjoint operator of  $U$ ). Compute the (generalised) eigenvalues of  $\tilde{P}$ , and compare them with your result from part f). Explain why the generalised eigenvalues of  $P$  and  $\tilde{P}$  are the same.

---

<sup>1</sup>An element  $\psi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,2\pi)}^{\oplus} \psi_\theta \, d\theta \in \mathcal{H}$  is a continuous direct sum of elements  $\psi_\theta \in \mathcal{H}_\theta$ . The scalar product of two elements  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  is defined as follows:

$$\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \psi_{1,\theta} | \psi_{2,\theta} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\theta} \, d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^L \overline{\psi_{1,\theta}(x)} \psi_{2,\theta}(x) \, dx \, d\theta. \quad (2.7)$$

<sup>2</sup>Roughly speaking, generalised eigenvalues are eigenvalues whose corresponding eigenvectors are not necessarily normalisable. Example: Every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is a generalised eigenvalue of the position operator  $X = x$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . An eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$  is the  $\delta$ -distribution  $x \mapsto \delta(x - \lambda)$ , which is not a square-integrable function in the usual sense.