The future of scientific publishing, for and by scientists

Roderich Moessner
Vorstand für Publikationen

Situation and challenges

Publishing landscape is dynamic

- In part driven by technological advances
- Evolving demands, in particular open access, value for money

Subject is complex

- Many actors: heterogeneity and fragmentation
- Various historically contingent structures
- Interests of individuals and community often incongruous



Easy (i) to have an opinion and/or (ii) to get lost in details

Position paper by DPG (published Nov 2021)

https://www.dpg-physik.de/veroeffentlichungen/publikationen/stellungnahmen-der-dpg/wissenschaftssystem/dpg-positionspapier-zur-zukunft-des-wissenschaftlichen-publikationswesens

In complex discussions, viewpoints of scientists easily get lost

- Other players act on our behalf
- Leidensdruck' is not sufficient to get many people focused

Which issues are important for us?

Which changes would we welcome/oppose/be indifferent to?

What actions should we take ourselves?

Which issues are important to us?

Open access

In practise, assured by arXiv. Are we happy with arXiv? Stability?

Quality assurance and `reputation allocation'

- Labour-intensive portion (refereeing) provided free of charge
- Decision-making outsourced to editors (but not always)
 - Is this where we want to be?
 - Multiple influences: which topics are prominent? How are results presented?

Community-based innovation (e.g. SciPost)

- Entry barriers
- Who does the work? Scalability and Stability
- General problem: financing of `free' journals



Which issues are important to us?

Ownership

Copyright; future use (including referee reports)

Policy decisions

- Open access
- FAIR principles (code availability etc)

Refereeing

- Labour-intensive portion (refereeing) provided free of charge
- Many suggestions for reform but no clear way forward

Provide input for negotiations

So that not all of us have to take case-by-case decisions

